

Un titled

From: Cllr Cummins <Cllr.Cummins@redbridge.gov.uk>
To: Helen Zammett <h_zammett@yahoo.co.uk>
Sent: Monday, 29 June, 2009 19:26:36
Subject: RE: Chepstow and CAP

Hello Helen,

I have finally located the Conservation & Design Teams Report on Redbridge i.

I see this a seperate representation to that made by CAP and I feel that both the Conservation Design Teams Representation and CAP's resolution are stronger for being seperate. The two representations complement each other, in my opinion. However I will be e-mailing Cllr Hayes on this this evening as his weekly briefing with the Chief Planning Officer is tomorrow.

The Design Teams Representations will form part of the Report that comes before Regulatory and given the detail of their comments, I would expect that to take up about 1/2 to a page at least. Should the application be rejected and go to appeal, all representations made by objecters and other interested parties, including the Design Teams, would be forwarded to the Inspector in their entirety. All the points made by the Design Team will be brought out in debate when the application is considered.

Meanwhile, the Resolution passed at CAP, reads as follows:

That (i) objection be raised on the grounds that the development would be visually intrusive in this Conservation Area, it's density could constitute overdevelopment, the bulk and design of the Nutter Lane blocks and roof line would be detrimental to the Conservation Area and we were concerned about the loss of two mature trees; and

(ii) we express our concern that we did not have sufficient information before us to fully consider the aspects of density, amenity space and balconies.

I try and get back to you again, before I go away tomrrow.

Regards
Chris